



# **Prototype Carbon Fund**

## **Verification Report** **- for Year 2005 -**

### **Second Periodic Verification of Bulgaria Svilosa Biomass Project**

**April 28, 2006**

**JCI CDM Center**

**Report No. JCI-CDM-VER-003-1-2P**

**Revision No. 00**

Date of first issue: April 28, 2006	Project No.: 2003-JCI-CDM-VER-003-1
Approved by: YOSHIDA Akio	Organisational unit: JCI CDM Center
Client: Prototype Carbon Fund	Client ref.:

## Summary:

After the desk review for the monitoring report 2005 prepared by Svilocell Company which was submitted to JCI on January 10, 2006, JCI Verification Team has done the On-site Assessment of 2nd Periodic Verification at Svilosa Site, Bulgaria during February 27 to March 2, 2006 for the Bulgaria Svilosa Biomass Project. Since January 1<sup>st</sup> 2006, Svilosa Co. transferred the assets of the paper mill plant and other assets to new company as Svilocell Co. The biomass boiler plant, and the biomass fuels including the one from stockpile now belong to Svilocell Co. Svilosa Co. and Svilocell Co. will inform PCF and JCI of the changes, will initiate the necessary changes to the contract with PCF and necessary changes of the organization and management including the organization chart of the Svilosa Biomass Project.

The summary of the findings by Verification Team through the On-site Assessment are shown below and detailed in this report and the attachments.

1. Progress status of Three (3) FARs which have been addressed as FAR-001, FAR-002 and FAR-003 at the 1<sup>st</sup> Verification are confirmed and the FARs are cleared as shown in the attached Non-Conformance (NC) Forward Action Request and the Clearance Report Forms. (Annex 2)
2. Total Project Emissions reductions (tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e) :  
Project Emissions reductions, amount **45,449 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e** is verified for the period of January, 2005 to December, 2005 which is requested in the summary sheet of the Workbook of the monitoring report.
3. Four (4) FARs are addressed as the findings through the 2nd periodical Verification and they are shown in attached Forward Action Request forms.
  - 1) 2P-FAR-1; Improvement to the Annual Report for Generated Carbon Emissions and Workbook to reflect rectification of incorrectly copied data and data period and unify decimal point formats.
  - 2) 2P-FAR-2; Measures to avoid data input mistakes and improve manual input form due to present and future malfunctioning of one of the flow meters of the biomass boiler heat meter.
  - 3) 2P-FAR-3; Update and reflect in the basic project drawings the capital improvements to the biomass boiler, with which have been added an additional third water supply pump and additional second exhaust gas fan.
  - 4) 2P-FAR-4; Improvement to key measurement instrument calibration plan forms and records, by inserting proper instrument I.D. number in the plan forms and records.

Report No.: JCI-CDM-VER-003-1-2P	Subject Group:
Report title: 2nd Periodic Verification of the Bulgaria Svilosa Biomass Project	
Work carried out by: OKADA Masaki, SAKAI Yoshihisa, MORI Yoichi,	
Work verified by: SATO Hideyuki	
Date of this revision:	Rev. No.: Rev-00
	Number of pages: 166

## Indexing terms

- ☒ No distribution without permission from the Client or responsible organisational unit
- ☐ Limited distribution
- ☐ Unrestricted distribution

## Abbreviations

CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
EMS	Environment Management Plan
ER	Emission Reduction
ERU	Emission Reduction Unit
ERPA	Emission Reductions Purchase Agreement
FAR	Forward Action Request
GHG	Green House Gas
IETA	International Emission Trading Association
JCI	Japan Consulting Institute
MMS	Management and Monitoring System
MP	Monitoring Plan
OE	Operational Entity
PAD	Project Appraisal Document
PCF	Prototype Carbon Fund
PDD	Project Design Document
PT	Performance Test
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
RIOS	Regional Environmental Inspection
SPS	Svilosa Power Station AD (CHPP)
TOR	Terms of Reference
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change

<i>Table of Contents</i>	<i>Page</i>
1      INTRODUCTION .....	- 1 -
1.1      Objective	- 1 -
1.2      Scope	- 1 -
1.3      Description of the Project Activity	- 1 -
2      METHODOLOGY .....	- 3 -
2.1      Review of Documentation	- 3 -
2.2      Site Visits	- 3 -
2.3      Assessment	- 4 -
2.4      Reporting of Findings	- 5 -
3      VERIFICATION FINDINGS .....	- 6 -
3.1      Remaining Issues, CARs, FARs from Previous Validation or Verification	- 6 -
3.2      Project Implementation	- 6 -
3.3      Completeness of Monitoring	- 6 -
3.4      Accuracy of Emission Reduction Calculations	- 7 -
3.5      Quality of Evidence to Determine Emission Reductions	- 7 -
3.6      Management System and Quality Assurance	- 7 -
4      PROJECT SCORECARD .....	- 8 -
5      VERIFICATION STATEMENT .....	- 9 -
6      REFERENCES.....	- 12 -

Annex 1: 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification Checklist

Annex 2: Non-conformance (NC), Forward Action and Clearance Report (FAR-1, 2, 3) at the 1<sup>st</sup> Verification

Annex 3: Summary of On-site Assessment

- 1) Summary of On-site Assessment
- 2) Main Documents / Data List obtained during the On-site Assessment
- 3) Forward Action Request (FAR) and Clearance Report
  - 2P-FAR-1 : Attachment 1 Detailed Items for 2P-FAR-1
  - 2P-FAR-2 : Attachment 2 Detailed Items for 2P-FAR-2
  - 2P-FAR-3
  - 2P-FAR-4

Annex 4 : Photo Book of 2nd Periodic Verification

## 1 INTRODUCTION

As a Designated Operation Entity (DOE), Japan Consulting Institute (JCI) performed the 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification of Bulgaria Svilosa Biomass Project receiving an order from PCF for the execution of three times Periodic Verification following to the Initial verification on the project. This 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification is performed on the basis of TOR of PCF and currently valid conditions of CDM / JI stated in Kyoto Protocol, Marrakech Accords and the decisions of CDM Executive Board.

### Verification Team

Team Leader	:	SATO Hideyuki
Team Member	:	OKADA Masaki
Team Member	:	SAKAI Yoshihisa
Team Member	:	MORI Yoichi
Team Member	:	Vldamir Kanev
Local Expert	:	Todor Georgiev Donchev

### 1.1 Objective

Objective of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification is to review and verify that all the project activities are implemented as planned, i.e., all the physical features of the project including monitoring system are in place and fully functional, project has been operated smoothly without any serious trouble, monitoring of the data has been carried out fairly and the GHG data of high quality have been collected and reported as originally planned through the period of January, 2005 to December, 2005 under a risk management at sufficient level.

### 1.2 Scope

JCI has performed the 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification of the Bulgaria Svilosa Biomass Project according to the requirement of PCF set as part of the MP for this specific project. Progress of the project, establishment and implementation of the quality assurance system and the procedures of data management / control system have been assessed on site in accordance with the IETA / PCF Validation and Verification Manual / Check List. The Project Design Document, Determination Report and other related documents prepared by PCF have been reviewed together with the technical documents, the monitoring data and the result of the Workbook calculation prepared by Svilocell Company as the basis of the assessment. 1<sup>st</sup> Periodic Verification Report has also been reviewed. Additionally, this 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification is based on currently valid documentation of UNFCCC. In this context, the relevant documents are the "Marrakech Accord".

### 1.3 Description of the Project Activity

Project Parties:	Bulgaria, PCF
Title of project activity:	Bulgaria, Svilosa Biomass Project
Project Entity:	Svilocell Company
	5253 Svishtov, Bulgaria
	TEL: 359 631 /2 27 81
	E-mail address: <a href="mailto:antonov@svilosa.bg">antonov @svilosa.bg</a>
	Quality Manager : Mr. Lyusi Antonov

Location of the project activity: 5253 Svishtov, Bulgaria

#### Outline of the Project

Svilocell Company has introduced and commissioned a boiler fuelled with the biomass waste originated from the timber supplied to the plant as a raw material for the pulp production in the plant. The steam generated from the biomass boiler is supplied to the pulp plant to substitute partially the steam which has been supplied from the existing coal fired thermal power station belongs to the Svilosa Power Station AD as the sole heat energy source to the pulp plant. Utilization of the biomass waste as the heat energy source of the pulp plant brings an effect to of GHG reduction in two ways.

Firstly, fuel coal consumption in the thermal power station is reduced because of the decreased heat demand by the pulp plant with the effect of heat produced by the biomass boiler and CO<sub>2</sub> emission from combustion of the fuel coal is reduced accordingly. Secondary, consumption of timber waste in the biomass boiler reduces the amount of waste disposal as stockpile to compose a source of CH<sub>4</sub> emission.

Those two effects are planned to bring GHG emission reductions of total minimum 450,000 tonnes CO<sub>2</sub>e as a result of the project activity through the project life, i.e., 2004 to 2012.(First Amendment ERPA)

## 2 METHODOLOGY

The IETA / PCF Validation and Verification Manual / Checklist are utilised to secure the transparency and credibility of the verification. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification Checklist, reinforced with the additional items to cover the check points required to be verified specifically for the project, covers following items and helps the verifier to perform the work with high degree of credibility.

Areas covered by the checklist

- (1) Current status of the installations
- (2) Open issues indicated in the Initial Verification Report
- (3) Data Management System / Controls
- (4) GHG calculation procedures and management control testing
- (5) Detailed audit testing of residual risk areas and random testing

2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification Checklist is utilized as follows

- (1) Systemizing, detailing and clarifying the conditions of Emission Reduction Purchasing
- (2) Securing the transparency of the verification process on the documentation of the result.
- (3) Securing the credibility of the verification based on the designated standard.

First of all, the desk review was performed based on the following monitoring report prepared by Svilocell Company which was submitted on January 10, 2006.

- (1) The year 2005 data according to the MP of the Project
- (2) The result of the Workbook calculation

After the desk review, the On-site Assessment was performed.

### Duration of verification

Desk review: From January 10, 2006 to February 2, 2006

On-site Assessment: From February 27, 2006 to March 2, 2006

Reporting: From March 3, 2006 to April 3, 2006

### 2.1 Review of Documentation

Following documents and data are reviewed in order to verify the project activities at the desk review and the site.

- (1) Monitoring report
- (2) Organization Chart
- (2) Management Manual

- (3) Calibration and maintenance record of key instruments
- (4) General information about operation of CHPP
- (5) Contract for the data presentation between SPS and Svilosa Co.
- (6) Data of avoided fuel coal consumption in CHPP
- (7) Workbook
- (8) Actual operation results of CHPP
- (9) Total amount of steam supplied to cellulose plant
- (10) Total fuel consumption in the power station
- (11) Average fuel heat value
- (12) Key input data
- (13) Manual input data
- (14) Shutdown record of the plant
- (15) Record of failure and/or mal function of monitoring instrument

## 2.2 Site Visits

Verification team has visited the site for On-Site Assessment from February 27, to March 2, 2006. The objectives of the assessment is to verify that the project is implemented, monitored and reported as planned.

The interviewed persons at the site are described in following Table 2.1 and the Summary of the On-site Assessment in Annex 3.

Table 2.1 List of Interviewed Persons

	Organization	Name of person	Title
<b>Project Participant</b>	Svilocell Co.	Mr. Yordan Gaidaro	Project Manager, Managing Director Production
		Mr. Lyusi Antonov	Quality Manager
		Mr. Borislav Borisov	Person in charge for Workbook
		Ms. Diana Ganeva	Engineer,
<b>Stake holders</b>	Svilosa Power Station	Mr. Lyubomir Stamatov	Executive Director
		Mr. Bozhin Bozhinov	Production Manager

## 2.3 Assessment

The Assessment has been done as follows;

- (1) Investigation of whether all relevant equipment are installed properly, function as anticipated and are maintained fairly.
- (2) Confirmation of environmental and social impacts.
- (3) Interviews with the Project management, QA manager and operating and maintenance staffs.
- (4) Observations in order to check the risks for inappropriate operation and data collection procedures.



- (5) Reviews on information flows for generating, aggregating /collating and reporting the selected monitored parameters.
- (6) Check the monitoring report data and the relevant raw data.
- (7) Comparison with the data inputted in the Workbook prepared by the person is in charged input and the operation data collected by the operators.
- (8) Re-calculation of GHG emission reductions by using the Workbook attached in the MP and the monitoring report /Workbook submitted on January 10, 2006.
- (9) Check the evidence to be provided by the project owners that demonstrates that all metering equipments are calibrated.
- (10) Auditing of the required procedures, routines, and documentations to check their proper application.
- (11) Assessment of all the data collection, analysis and transmission procedures all along the chain from the project facilities to PCF, in order to ensure that PCF receives relevant, complete and synthetic information to execute payments under the confidential Emission Reductions Purchase Agreement.
- (12) Verification of FARs from the 1<sup>st</sup> Verification Report

## 2.4 Reporting of Findings

Findings established during the verification may be that:

- i) the verification is not able to obtain sufficient evidence for the reported emission reductions or part of the reported emission reductions. In this case these emission reductions shall not be verified and certified;
- ii) the verification has identified material misstatements in the reported emission reductions. Emission reductions with material misstatements shall be discounted based on the verifier's ex-post determination of the achieved emission reductions.

A Forward Action Requests (FAR) should be issued, where:

- the actual project monitoring and reporting practices requires attention and /or adjustment for the next consecutive verification period, or
- an adjustment of the MP is recommended.

In the context of FARs, risks have been identified, which may endanger the delivery of high quality CERs in the future, i.e. by deviations from standard procedures as defined by the MP. As a consequence, such aspects should receive a special focus during the next consecutive verification. A FAR may originate from lack of data sustaining claimed emission reductions.

### 3 VERIFICATION FINDINGS

#### 3.1 Remaining Issues, CARs, FARs from Previous Validation or Verification

Progress status of Three (3) FARs which have been addressed as FAR-001, FAR-002 and FAR-003 at the 1<sup>st</sup> Periodic Verification are confirmed and the FARs are cleared as shown in attached Non-Conformance (NC), Forward Action Request and Clearance Report Form. (Annex 2)

#### 3.2 Project Implementation

Since January 1<sup>st</sup> 2006, Svilosa Co. transferred some production facilities and assets to three new companies named, Svilocell Co., ZIK Sviloza Co., and ZHO Co.

The paper mill plant and other assets now belong to Svilocell Co., and the assets of the biomass boiler plant, the project and the biomass fuels including the one from stockpile are now belong to the Svilocell Co.

ZIK Sviloza Co. is the fiber producing company for artificial silk.

ZHO Co. is the maintenance company and they make some vessels and other chemical equipment. Svilosa Co. and Svilocell Co. will inform PCF and JCI of the changes, will initiate the necessary changes to the contract with PCF and necessary changes of the organization and management including the organization chart of the Svilosa Biomass Project.

#### 3.3 Completeness of Monitoring

The following subjects were discussed.

- 1) Addition of Sheet 8, change of Sheet 3 & 4 and deletion of a part of Sheet 5 in the Workbook
- 2) Confirmation of the calibration result for the key equipment based on the site survey and documents.

The conclusion is shown in following **2P-FAR-1** and **2P-FAR-2** as indicated in the Annex 3.

- **2P-FAR-1** : Improvement to the Annual Report for Generated Carbon Emissions and Workbook to reflect rectification of incorrectly copied data and data period and unify decimal point formats.

**2P-FAR-1-1 to 2P-FAR-1-5** related to the improvement of the Annual Report and Workbook rectification are shown in the following Table 3.1 and in the Attachment 1 of Annex 3

Table 3.1 Detailed Items for 2P-FAR-1

<b>2P-FAR-1-1</b>	Rectify the monthly input value for October for the Boiler heat output on Table 4 on page 8 of the Annual Report to the actual heat output value stated in the Protocol for the actual produced heat during October 2005
<b>2P-FAR-1-2</b>	Rectify the monthly input value for October for the Boiler heat output on Sheet 5 of the Svilosa Biomass Project Workbook to the actual heat output value stated in the Protocol for the actual produced heat during October 2005.
<b>2P-FAR-1-3</b>	Rectify the period May-December 2004 on page 9 of the Annual Report to the correct period of January – December 2005
<b>2P-FAR-1-4</b>	Unify the decimal point formats on the Annual Report and the Workbook to the

Table 3.1 Detailed Items for 2P-FAR-1

	single format generally accepted for local documents.
<b>2P-FAR-1-5</b>	Send the rectified versions of the Annual Report and Workbook, with proper Project Emission Reductions to PCF.

- **2P-FAR-2** : Measures to avoid data input mistakes and improve manual input form due to present and future malfunctioning of one of the flow meters of the biomass boiler heat meter.

**2P-FAR-2-1 to 2P-FAR-2-2** related to the improvement of the Annual Report and Workbook rectification are shown in the following Table 3.2 and in the Attachment 1 of Annex 3.

Table 3.2 Detailed Items for 2P-FAR-2

<b>2P-FAR-2-1</b>	Organise and purchase a new flow meter, with reliable service, to replace the malfunctioning flow meter of the heat meter of the biomass boiler and avoid risk of incorrect data readout and loss of ERU.
<b>2P-FAR-2-2</b>	Improve monthly data collection Form P_01_PR_03 for monthly heat output of biomass boiler to present actually produced heat by adding a row indicating value of heat output based on Management Manual procedures in case of flow meter malfunction.

### 3.4 Accuracy of Emission Reduction Calculations

The following subjects were discussed.

- 1) Upgrading of the Workbook
- 2) Upgrading of the Management Manual according to the upgrading of the Workbook

The conclusions are shown in **2P-FAR-1** and **2P-FAR-2**, of which details are described in above Item 3.3 and in the Annex 3.

### 3.5 Quality of Evidence to Determine Emission Reductions

The following subjects were discussed.

- 1) Check of the input data, the operation data and the source data including the external data
- 2) Check of the daily log sheet

The conclusions are shown in **2P-FAR-1** and **2P-FAR-2**, of which details are described in above Item 3.3 and in the Annex 3.

### 3.6 Management System and Quality Assurance

The following subjects were discussed.

- 1) Organization chart, responsibilities and roles
- 2) Data flow diagrams
- 3) Reporting procedure

## 4) Management Manual

- (i) Internal audit
- (ii) Training program and the record for the all staffs
- (iii) Handling of the input data to the Workbook and the operation data/the source data
- (iv) Change of the Management Manual

The conclusion is shown in following **2P-FAR-3** and **2P-FAR-4** as indicated in the Annex 3.

- **2P-FAR-3** : Update and reflect in the basic project drawings the capital improvements to the biomass boiler, with which have been added an additional third water supply pump and additional second exhaust gas fan.
- **2P-FAR-4** : Improvement to key measurement instrument calibration plan forms and records, by inserting proper instrument I.D. number in the plan forms and records.

## 4 PROJECT SCORECARD

Project Scorecard shows the conclusion of risk analysis for 2<sup>nd</sup> Periodic Verification in the following Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Project Scorecard

Risk Areas		Conclusions			Summary of findings and comments	Error/Discounted Uncertainty Tonnes
		Base-line Emissions	Project Emissions	Calculated Emission Reductions		
<b>Completeness</b>	Source coverage/ boundary definition	NA	Full	Full	—	—
<b>Accuracy</b>	Physical Measurement and Analysis	NA	Partial	Partial	New flow meter to be replaced with reliable maintenance service to avoid risk of incorrect data readout and loss of ERU. (2P-FAR-2)	—
	Data calculations	NA	Partial	Partial	The Workbook is required to be rectified. (2P-FAR-1, 2P-FAR-2)	2,125 ton CO <sub>2</sub> e to be increased from the GHG emission reduction quantity in the monitoring report.

Risk Areas		Conclusions			Summary of findings and comments	Error/Discounted Uncertainty Tonnes
		Base-line Emissions	Project Emissions	Calculated Emission Reductions		
	Data management & reporting	NA	Full	Full	Though the score is identified as “Full”, the Management Manual is required to be revised according to the improvement of monthly data collection form. <b>(2P-FAR-2, 2P-FAR-4)</b>	Not effected
<b>Consistency</b>	Changes in the project	NA	Full	Full	Though the score is identified as “Full”, the capital improvements to the biomass boiler, with which have been added an additional third water supply pump and additional second exhaust gas fan shall be reflected in the basic project drawings. <b>(2P-FAR-3)</b>	Not effected

## 5 VERIFICATION STATEMENT

### Introduction

JCI has been engaged by the PCF to examine the greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions reported from Svilocell Company for the period, January, 2005 to December, 2005, equating to 43,324 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents.

Our opinion relates to the project’s GHG emissions and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported for the year ended December, 2005.

### Responsibilities of Svilocell Company and JCI

Since January 1<sup>st</sup> 2006, Svilosa Co. transferred some production facilities and assets to three new companies named, Svilocell Co., ZIK Sviloza Co., and ZHO Co.

The paper mill plant and other assets now belong to Svilocell Co., and the assets of the biomass boiler plant, the project and the biomass fuels including the one from stockpile are now belong to the Svilocell Co.

ZIK Sviloza Co. is the fiber producing company for artificial silk.

ZHO Co. is the maintenance company and they make some vessels and other chemical equipment.

Svilosa Co. and Svilocell Co. will inform PCF and JCI of the changes, will initiate the necessary changes to the contract with PCF and necessary changes of the organization and management including the organization chart of the Svilosa Biomass Project.

The management of the Svilocell Company is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan dated October, 2002. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of

GHG emission reductions from the project is the responsibility of the management of Svilocell Company.

It is our responsibility to express an independent GHG verification opinion on the GHG emissions from the project for the year ended December, 2005 and on the calculation of GHG emission reductions from the project for the year ended December, 2005 based on the verified emissions for the 2005.

#### **Basis of GHG verification opinion**

Our verification approach was based on the requirements as defined under the Kyoto Protocol, Marrakech accord, as well as those defined by the CDM Executive board.

Our approach is risk-based, drawing on an understanding of the risks associated with reporting GHG emissions data and the controls in place to mitigate these. Our examination includes assessment, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures in relation to the project's GHG emission reductions for the year ended 2004.

We planned and performed our work to obtain the information and explanations that we considered necessary to provide sufficient evidence for us to give reasonable assurance that the amount of GHG emission reductions for the year ended 2004, prepared on the basis of the MP dated October, 2002, are fairly stated.

We conducted our verification having regard to the Project Design Document including Svilosa Biomass Project's MP dated October, 2002. This assessment includes:

- collection of evidence supporting the reported data
- checking whether the provisions of the MP dated October, 2002, were consistently and appropriately applied

We have verified whether the information from Svilocell Company is current and has been correctly extracted from the Project Design Document that the emissions reduction achieved has been determined by correctly.

### Opinion

Based on the information we have seen and evaluated. As the result, it was found that the heat production for only one boiler for October 2005 was entered in the Workbook, forgetting to multiplied by 2 (for two boilers). This was identified as 2P-FAR-2. For October 2005 the value of the protocol was corrected and multiplied by 2. (Heat produced by one boiler stated was 2,368 MWh, while protocol and real value is 4,736MWh). Correcting this in the Workbook increases the CO2 emission reduction to 45,449 tonnes of CO2 equivalent from the initially reported 43,324 tonnes of CO2 equivalent.

It is our opinion that the correction in the Workbook is done by fair means, and consequently that the amount of **45,449 tonnes of CO2 equivalents** indicated in the monitoring report during the period of January, 2005 to December, 2005 can be justified

## 6 REFERENCES

List of the Main Documents / Data provided by Svilocell Company is as follows.

- /1/ Monitoring Report “SVILOSA” AD-Svishtov, Bulgaria Svilosa biomass Project, January 2006
- /2/ Calculation result of Workbook , 2006/3/16
- /3/ Management Manual Rev.1 December 30, 2005
- /4/ Organization chart and Data Flow Diagram (Annex 2 and Annex 3 to Management Manual)
- /5/ Contract between Svilosa Power Station AD and Svilocell Company regarding to the data transfer
- /6/ Annual Report for generated Carbon Emissions January 2006
- /7/ Report (transferred data on SPS parameters for December 2005)
- /8/ One set of monthly input data (for December 2005) including protocol for biomass boiler monthly heat output
- /9/ One copy of filled input log sheet for October 31, December 1 and December 31, 2005
- /10/ One set each of monthly input data without SPS data sheet, but including protocols for biomass boiler monthly inputs for July and October 2005
- /11/ Drawing of Svilosa waste site area with indicated waste sites belonging to SPS and Svilocell and Svilocell’s industrial waste site; free site and biomass stockpile area site.
- /12/ Two sets of boiler heat output graphs for October and December 2005
- /13/ Act for measuring equipment malfunction (flow meter) June 2005
- /14/ First Amendment to the Emission Reduction Purchase Agreement for the Bulgaria Svilosa Biomass Project
- /15/ Reports for QA audit for No. 1 July 2005 and No. 2 December 2005

- o0o -